Hartford Habitat Strategic Planning

2018-2021 (3 Years)

Mergers Discussion

Ranked Strategic Questions

- 1. How do we balance our organizational strengths, funding shifts, volunteer needs to develop a plan for how we will focus and segment our housing products of new construction, rehabs, critical home repairs and ABWK with the desire to serve more families?
- 2. With a changing funding environment what are the right resources and revenue streams to develop and maintain and grow financial outlook?
- 3. How does Habitat Hartford continue to grow awareness, education and build community support for it's leading role in bringing housing stability and clarify Habitat's core model?
- 4. How do we balance our current program commitments, community needs and donor/community expansion and develop a plan for how we build geographically in our county?
- 5. What are the benefits and drawbacks of continuing to move our work to align and partner with collaborative initiatives and neighborhood focus efforts?
- 6. How does the regional Habitat for Humanity shifts, potential mergers and collaborations affect Habitat Hartford's future?
- 7. How do we sustain, grow or expand our Restore model?

Opportunities and Challenges and Threats for Habitat Hartford

Staff	Board	Opportunities (External)
	1	Marketing our mission better.
2	3	Sea of housing stock that is sitting, as well as home owner occupied houses in need of repair.
1	2	The ability to develop new revenue streams
	4	Comprehensive, coordinated and systemic responses are emerging as a best practice for better neighborhood outcomes.
1	4	Individual giving is an untapped opportunity.
	4	Our state is focused on school excellence and housing stock availability.
2		Planned giving is an opportunity
2		Engage millennials and young professionals
		Challenges/Threats (External)
1	1	Federal and state funding is fading and is a large portion of our financial support.
2		Environmental and policy changes will force us to build new homes to higher standards and increase costs.
2	3	Changes to federal tax law around giving (individual and corporate)
3	2	Fiscal problems at the state level.
3		Lack of fundraising support by local, state and national gov't.

Move to the Future

What are our 3 year outcomes for...

- Families Served
- Revenue

Customer Outcome

Families Served

Need Analysis

Housing Program	Neighborhood Focus Neighborhood: Promise	Outside Hartford		
New	Low	Med		
Rehab	Very High	Very High		
Critical Home Repair \$5000 and over	Very High	High		
ABWK Under \$5000	Medium	Low		

Trends that affect housing program

- Government funding for new construction is declining
- Every town has a "that house" that needs repairs and is a way to increase awareness and donors
- Collaborations and neighborhood revitalization efforts are a funding priority
- Funding for ABWK and Critical Home Repair is increasing for Aging in Place funders
- Rehabs cost typically less than new construction
- Funders want outcome impact data
- Critical home repair and ABWK can increase a diverse and different set of funding streams
- Corporations want to build where they are, which for us is in Hartford (approx 80% of corporate donors want to focus on Hartford, 20% outside)

	New Home Construction Total	Rehabs Total	Recycle (Cost of a Critical	Total Families in New Homes	Critical Home Repairs	ABWK	Total Families Served with Housing	FFC	Total Families Served
By 2021	5	8	3	16	7	12	35	20	55
2018	10	3	3	16	0	10	26	14	40
2017	5	2	4	11	2	9	22	0	22
2016	11	0	3	14	1	0	15	0	15
2015	12	0	3	15	0	12	27	0	27

Housing Product Shifts

- 1. Shift from new construction to rehabs
- Continue to expand and mature Critical Repair Program
- 3. Add Recycled homes as part of how we count and track homes
- Expand slightly the Financial Freedom Center to keep pace with families needed and to create a pipeline of stronger families

Discussion

- Does this program mix align with the trends we have identified?
- What is positive about this program mix?
- What questions or concerns?

Next Steps

- Restore evaluation, program and organizational review(not a feasibility study)
- Two or more family construction methods (Karraine and Chris)